UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,474	02/07/2001	G. Rodney Nelson	2479.1067-001	4700
²⁴³⁷⁴ VOLPE AND F	7590 03/17/200 KOENIG, P.C .	EXAMINER		
DEPT. ICC	,	TSEGAYE, SABA		
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/778,474	NELSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SABA TSEGAYE	2619				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	ebruary 2008					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	· 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	e annlication					
4)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-19</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
1 apor 110(0), mian batto						

Application/Control Number: 09/778,474 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 10-19 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Dunn (US 3,742,498) and Hewitt et al. (US 4,642,806)

 Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 10-19 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Line 5, it is not clear whether the phrase "a feedback message" refers to the same feedback message cited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (US 3,742,498) in view of Hewitt et al. (US 4,642,806).

Regarding claim 1, Dunn discloses a communication between a master station and a slave station in different time slots of a TDM format. The slave station propagates a pseudo noise code

(a marker) ranging signal to the master station. The phase information of this ranging signal is detected in the master station, coded (analyzed), and transmitted to the slave station. This phase information is respond to the slave station **to adjust** the phase of its timing signals so that data bursts of the slave station appear in the proper time slot of the TDM format (column 4, lines 21-45; column 13, lines 7-25).

Page 3

Dunn does not expressly disclose two communication channels to support messages to/from a base station.

Hewitt teaches a communications system between outstations and a node. The system uses two communication channels both of which are used in a time share mode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use two communication channels, such as suggested by Hewitt, in the system of Dunn in order to provide a simultaneous communications in both directions between the master station and the slave station.

Regarding claim 2, Dunn discloses that time slot is divided into tow subintervals; one for sync and slow speed data and one for high speed data; for example, 10 microseconds are allotted for voice data transmission and 1 microsecond for sync maintenance.

Regarding claim 3, Dunn discloses that time slot is divided into tow subintervals; one for sync and slow speed data and one for high speed data; for example, 10 microseconds are allotted for voice data transmission and 1 microsecond for sync maintenance (column 8, lines 33-44).

Regarding claim 4, Dunn discloses that each aircraft (field unit) continuously uses its particular time slot (1 microsecond) for sync maintenance.

Page 4

Regarding claim 8, Dunn discloses a method further comprising dividing the first and second channel into a predetermined number of time slots to support periodic communications between the base station and a plurality of field units (column 8, lines 24-27).

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Dunn discloses each of the slave station transmits a different pseudo noise code ranging code signal to the master station (column 5, lines 51-52; column 6, lines 5-13).

Regarding claim 18, Dunn discloses wherein an assigned short PN code indicates a request by the field unit to transmit a data payload to the base station (column 8, lines 30-44).

Regarding claim 19, Dunn discloses wherein an assigned short PH code indicates a request by the field unit to remain in a standby mode (column 8, lines 30-39).

Regarding claim 14, Hewitt discloses wherein time slots are assigned in the first and second channel based on a predetermined offset (see fig. 2).

6. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn in view of Jalali et al. (US 5,828,662).

Regarding claim 1, Dunn discloses a communication between a master station and a slave station in different time slots of a TDM format. The slave station propagates a pseudo noise code (a marker) ranging signal to the master station. The phase information of this ranging signal is detected in the master station, coded (analyzed), and transmitted to the slave station. This phase information is respond to the slave station **to adjust** the phase of its timing signals so that data bursts of the slave station appear in the proper time slot of the TDM format (column 4, lines 21-45; column 13, lines 7-25).

Dunn does not expressly disclose two communication channels to support messages to/from a base station.

Jalali teaches a communication system between base station and a mobile station using a forward channel and a reverse channel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use two communication channels, such as that suggested by Jalali, in the system of Dunn in order to provide a simultaneous communications in both directions between the two points.

Regarding claim 12, Jalali discloses the method wherein field units are notified of time slot assignments based upon messages over a forward link-paging channel (see fig. 1, step 107; column 5, lines 4-10).

7. Claims 5, 10, 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn in view of Hewitt as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Scott (US 6,388,997).

Regarding claims 5, 11, 13 and 15, Dunn in view of Hewitt discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for the feedback message is to advance or retard timing.

Scot teaches that the base station sends a timing adjustment command to the user station instructing the user station to advance or retard its timing according to the calculated distance, so as to minimize guard times between time slots. Further, Scot teaches that a timing adjustment command may be expressed in terms of a number of bits of chips.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dunn's feedback message for instructing the slave station to advance or retard its timing, as taught by Scott, in order to minimize guard times between time slots and the data from the slave station appear in the proper time slot.

Regarding claim 10, Scot teaches the method wherein the timing adjustment information is transmitted to a field unit over a paging channel (column 55, lines 35-50).

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn in view of Hewitt as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Park et al (US 6,396,823).

Dunn in view of Hewitt discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for the transmissions on the first channel are encoded using BCH.

Park discloses a base station transceiver in a CDMA system that utilizes BCH encoding (the invention provides a base station for scrambling Bose-Chaudhuri- Hocquenghem BCH encoded data; see col. 3 lines 22-30).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dunn's master station to transmit BCH encoded data in the forward link direction, as taught by Park. The motivation is to provide a fast, accurate, and efficient system. It is known in the art that BCH encoding is an accurate and efficient method that enables the subscriber on the receiving end to detect and correct errors.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 10-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SABA TSEGAYE whose telephone number is (571)272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,474 Page 8

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Saba Tsegaye Examiner Art Unit 2619

/S. T./ March 7, 2008

/Wing F Chan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619 3/10/08